

ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION BHUBANESWAR

Present: Shri Tarun Kanti Mishra, State Chief Information Commissioner Date: - 15th September, 2011 Complaint Case No.2164 / 2009

Decision

Both complainant Bijaya Kumar Nayak and the PIO, Office of CDPO, Puri Sadar, Puri are absent on call. However, the PIO is represented by Ajay Kumar Nayak, Advocate as she is engaged in disaster management following recent floods in Puri District. Though the notice was issued to the complainant as per the address given in his complaint petition under section 18, the same has returned with a remark of the postal authorities that he refused to accept the notice and hence the same is returned. Heard and perused records.

2. The Commission perused the complaint petition and the enclosure thereto. The complainant has not submitted the copy of the form-A application. Instead, he has given the list of queries appended to his request for information. The representative of the PIO however produced a copy of the form-A application submitted by the complainant and the same was perused. This appears to be an undated application. It was received on 29.10.2009. The queries made by the complainant are quoted verbatim below: