

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR**

Present: Shri Jagadananda, SIC

Date: 21st March, 2009

Complaint Case No. 1750 / 2007



Shantanu Kumar Pradhan,
At-Jampali-768033,
PO-Tungibandhali,
Via-Sohela,
Bargarh District.....Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer,
Office of the Tahasildar,
Sohela,
Bargarh DistrictOpposite Party


Decision

1. Complainant Shantanu Kumar Pradhan is absent. The same cannot be condoned under Rule 9(2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Producer) Rules, 2006 in view of the order dated 04/02/09. Surendra Mohan Chand, PIO, Tahasil office, Sohela is present. Heard. Perused the case record. This case arises out of a complaint petition dated 20/11/07.
2. The case was earlier heard on 27/11/08 and 04/02/09.

3. The Complainant sought information on implementation of Section 4(1)(b) of the RTI Act in his form A application dated 04/07/07. The Complainant was intimated vide letter no-3278 dated 31/07/07 to inspect the office records during the working hours and collect the required information after depositing the requisite cost of information.
4. The PIO states that the Complainant had received the information on 01/08/07 and the information pertaining to Section 4(1)(b) is available for which the Complainant was invited for inspection at the Public Authority. The information need of the Complainant is completed.
5. The State Commission observed that there appears to be no other issue to prolong the case. The Complainant is absent all through out the hearing. Presumably he had no other grievance to agitate in this instant case.
6. Hence the case is disposed of and closed. Inform the Complainant.

Pronounced in open proceedings.

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 21st day of March, 2009.


SIC 21/3/09