

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**BHUBANESWAR**

**Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N.Padhi, SCIC**

**and**

**Shri Jagadananda, SIC**

**Date: 6<sup>th</sup> March, 2009**

**Complaint Case No. 520//2007**

Mohan Kumar Pansari,  
Ward no.16 ( Sarthak Communication),  
Near Indian Oil,  
Adjacent to NH-6,  
Bargarh-768028,  
Bargarh District.....Complainant

**-Vrs-**

Public Information Officer,  
Office of the Tahasildar,  
Bargarh,  
Bargarh District.....Opposite Party



**Decision**



1. Complainant Mohan Kumar Pansari is absent. The same can not be condoned under Rule 9 (2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2006 in view of the previous order dated 14/11/08 where his presence was considered essential to come to a finality in this matter, and he informed accordingly by notice dated 22/12/08 sent by speed post from the State Commission. Narahari Bhoi, PIO, Anand Kumar Bhoi, APIO and Rainu Singh, ex-PIO, office of the Tahasildar, Bargarh are present. Heard. Perused the case record.
2. This case arises out of complaint petition dated 23/04/07 and heard by the State Commission on 14/02/08, 22/17/08, 27/08/08 and 14/11/08. It

reveals from the record that the Complainant in one complaint petition had enclosed 11 numbers of form A applications dated 24/02/07.

3. The PIO had also submitted on 14/02/08 that the Complainant had filed as many as 11 form A applications with the PIO on the same issues, and therefore, it was difficult to properly understand the request of the Complainant unless he clarified his exact requirement. Therefore, on 14/02/08, the State Commission had directed the PIO to call the Complainant for a meeting, with adequate advance notice, and provide him the permissible information, free of cost, as quickly as possible.
4. The PIO stated on 14/11/08 that in obedience to the orders of the State Commission he had informed the Complainant to appear before the Tahasil office, but he did not turn up. He stated that in the mean time all the required information have been supplied to the Complainant, free of cost. Though an opportunity of hearing was given to the Complainant to be present today he has preferred to remain absent. Presumably he has received all the information.
5. In view of the above situation, the case is disposed of and closed. Inform all concerned.

**Pronounced in open proceedings**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 6<sup>th</sup> day  
of March, 2009.

  
SCIC  
6.3.09  
  
SIC 6/3/09