

BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION

BHUBANESWAR

Present: Shri Jagadananda, SIC

Date: 17th February, 2009

Complaint Case No. 823/2007

Sudhar San Nayak,
At-Sompur(Kuchiladia),
PO/PS-Chandbali,
Bhadrak DistrictComplainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer,
Chandbali Block,
Bhadrak District..... Opposite Party



Decision


1. Complainant Sudarsan Nayak is absent. His absence is condoned under 9(2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2006. Mayadhar Biswal, Fishery Extension Officer-cum-ex-PIO, Chandabali Panchayat Samiti, Chandabali, Cuttack district is present. Heard. Perused the case record.
2. The case was earlier heard on 23.10.2008. During the last hearing it was stated that the Complainant filed form A application on 14.04.07 seeking information pertaining to Palli Sabha and related issues. The PIO stated that the information had been furnished to the Complainant and received by the family members of the Complainant vide letter no.1297 dated 05.05.07 as the Complainant was absent at his address. It reveals from the record that after receiving notice from the State Commission, another set of information had also been furnished with Registered Post on

17.09.08 which has returned with the postal remark that the “addressee is long absent”. The Complainant was given opportunity to be heard. Today he is absent despite notice. Presumably he has no other issue to agitate in this matter.

3. The State Commission is of the opinion that the RTI application has been attended within the stipulated time line inspite of the fact that the Applicant has remained long absent at the address provided in the RTI application. There appears no other issue to be adjudicated in this case.
4. Accordingly the case is disposed of and closed. Inform the Complainant.

Pronounced in open proceedings

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 17th day
of February, 2009.


SIC