

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION  
BHUBANESWAR**

**Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N.Padhi, SCIC**

**Date: 4<sup>th</sup> February, 2009**

**Complaint Case No.386 of 2007**



Vishnupada Dash  
At-Bikashnagar,  
PO-Jatni  
Khurda District .....Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer  
-cum-Secretary,  
Regulated Market Committee  
At/PO-Jatni  
Khurda District ..... Oppopiste Party

**Decision**


1. Complainant Vishnupada Dash is present. Durga Prasad Mohanty, Secretary, Regulated Market Committee, (RMC for short), Jatni-cum-PIO and his learned Advocate Narayan Satpathy are present. Heard and perused the case record. This matter arises out of petition dated 30.03.07 accepted as a complaint under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act,2005.
2. The case has been heard five times earlier on different dates. The Complainant mentioned that he had sought information in form A application dated 07.02.07 from the PIO, RMC Jatni on 14 items relating to the activities of the RMC. In response thereto the PIO intimated him vide letter no.196 dated 21/02/07 to deposit Rs.65/- towards the cost of providing information which he did and received the information. The Complainant submitted that the information he received was incomplete. Thereafter, on the basis of the complaint the State Commission directed the PIO on 22.11.2007 to provide balance permissible information to the Complainant, free of cost, within 15 days this is, by 07/12/07. On 29.01.08

the learned Advocate on behalf of the PIO mentioned that all information have been supplied to the Complainant on 25/01/08. However, the Complainant persisted with the allegation that he had not received complete information as on that date. To substantiate his averments, the PIO, RMC Jatni submitted that he has supplied the information to the Complainant. According to the Complainant day wise information supplied by the PIO was not in the manner sought by him, that is, monthwise data. The PIO submitted that the related information could be procured from Agriculture Department. For the above reasons it will take some time to supply the information to the Complainant.

3. After hearing both the parties, the State Commission observed that the PIO will send the information on a monthwise format to the Complainant by 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2009, free of cost, through the registered post with A/D.
4. With the above observation the case is closed and disposed of subject to compliance of the order through the Registrar of the State Commission.

**Pronounced in open proceedings**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 4th day of February, 2009.

  
SCIC  
4.2.09