

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR**

Present:- Prof. Radhamohan, SIC

Dated:- 13th February, 2007

Complaint Case No 512/2006



Shri Amil Lakra,
Vill-Haridabahal,
Jharagogua,
PS-Deograh,
Deogarh District.....Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer
Office of the Tahasildar,
Deogarh District.....Opposite Party


Decision

1. Complainant Shri Amil Lakra is absent on repeated calls. It is seen from the case record that the Legal Scrutinizer-cum-Sheristadar of the State Commission had sent intimation to him on 20/01/07 by Speed Post to appear today and rectify the defects. No steps have been taken by the Complainant.
2. The Complainant in the present case is not the same person who sought for information from the PIO u/S 6 of the RTI Act, 2005. Thus the defect appearing is incurable in nature.

3. Hence the case filed by the Complainant is dismissed for his default.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this the 13th day of February, 2007.



Sanjiv Kumar
SIC 13.2.7

1. Complainant Shri Amit Kumar has filed a complaint regarding the absence of a post office at the location of the complainant. It is seen from the case record that the legal officer-cum-Sheristadar of the State Commission had sent a notice to him on 20/01/07 by Speed Post to appear before the Commission to file the defect. No steps have been taken by the complainant.

2. The Complainant in the present case is not the same person who sought for information from the PIO u/s 6 of the RTI Act, 2005. Thus the defect appearing is incurable in nature.

Pushpa