

BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR

Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N. Padhi, SCIC
and
Prof. Radhamohan, SIC

Date 16th August, 2006

Complaint Case No. 20/2006



Smt Jayanti Patra,
W/o- Sri Nrusingha Charan Patra,
At-Anantapur,
Vill/Po-PuranaOdapada,
Via/Block -Raghunathpur,
Jagatsinghpur DistrictComplainant

-Vrs-

- 1 Block Development Officer,
Raghunathpur Block,
Jagatsinghpur District
- 2 Sri Himansu Bhusan Nayak,
Executive Officer,
PuranaOdapada Gram Panchayat
Raghunathpur
Jagatsinghpur District.....Opposite Parties

Decision

- 1 Complainant Smt Jayanti Patra is absent. She is represented by Sri Nrusingh Charan Patra. Sri Subhash Charan Nanda, PIO, Raghunathpur Block and Sri Himansu Bhusan Nayak, Executive Officer, PuranaOdapada Gram Pachayat are present. Heard all of them in detail.
- 2 The PIO (OP), Raghunathpur Block submits to have received Complainant's application for information on 02/01/06 and he needed the assistance of Sri Himansu Bhusan Nayak, Executive Officer of Purana-Odapada Gram Panchayat as the information required was related to the said Panchayat. Sri Nayak, the Executive Officer of the said Panchayat also admits to have received the reference from Sri Nanda, PIO (OP) on 02/01/06. According to Sri Nayak, he provided

16.8.06

Radhama
16/08/06

the information to Sri Nanda on 28/01/06 who forwarded the same to the Complainant on 07/02/06.


- 3 On a perusal of the application dated 08/03/06 of the Complainant u/S 6 of the Right To Information Act, 2005 (Act for short) it is seen that the Complainant has sought for the list of loanees without indicating the category/type of Loans availed. It is well-known that there are several categories / types of loans disbursed by Govt. agencies and availed by eligible persons under certain terms and conditions. Therefore, the Complainant not having indicated her ^{request} report in a proper and unambiguous manner as to whether she needed the list of IRDP loanees or ERRP loanees or both, the PIO and the officer whose assistance was sought for the former could not provide any definite information. However, during hearing, the authorized representative of the Complainant clarified that they need the list of loanees of both the above categories. At the behalf of the State Commission, they were supplied to her authorized representative today in presence of the Registrar of the State Commission.
- 4 The other information needed by the Complainant is about the total number of houses existing in village Anantapur. Both the Opposite Parties supplied the said information, at the direction of the State Commission by indicating that there are 88 (eighty eight) houses in the said village. Both the parties have filed a joint memo indicating compliance of the direction of the State Commission given to them on 12/07/06 and 16/08/06 (today).
- 5 In view of the above situation, the State Commission being satisfied that the Complainant has received the information, allows the case of the Complainant.

Inform all parties.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 16th day of August 2006.




Hon'ble SCIC
16-8-06
N. Hanuman
SIC

Rashmi
16/08/06