


**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR**

Present: **Hon'ble Shri D.N. Padhi, S.C.I.C**

Dated 23rd of August, 2006

Complaint Case No 83/2006



Sri Biswapriya Kanungo,
C/o Late Muralidhar Kanungo
2122, Sabar Sahi Lane (P. K. Jena Colony),
PO: Budheswari Colony,
Bhubaneswar- 751006.....Complainant

-Vrs-

Sri Bana Bihari Jena, OAS - I (Sr.Branch)
Deputy Secretary to Govt.,
cum - Public Information Officer,
Parliament Affairs Department
Secretariat
Bhubaneswar.....Opposite Party

Decision


- 1 Sri Biswapriya Kanungo, Complainant and Sri Bana Bihari Jena, Deputy Secretary - cum - Public Information Officer, Parliamentary Affairs Department are present. Heard both sides in detail.
- 2 As gathered from the materials on record and submissions of the parties, the Complainant sought for the complete proceedings of the State Cabinet on the Orissa Resettlement and Rehabilitation Policy, 2006 placed by the Revenue Department. The Public Information Officer supplied the copy of the Cabinet decision constituting the complete proceedings to the Complainant on 11/05/06, i.e., within 30 days from the date of his application. The Complainant alleges that the information supplied to him is incomplete since the note prepared to facilitate discussion of that Agenda have not been provided to him.


23.8.06

- 3 The above allegation of the Complainant is not in conformity with his request indicated by him in his application u/S 6 of Right To Information Act, 2005 (Act for short) in form 'A'. He has sought complete proceedings of the Cabinet decision and not the Agenda notes. The said Agenda note is likely to be available with the administrative department, i.e., Revenue Department, who placed the agenda item for discussion in the Cabinet Meeting. The Complainant having not specifically sought for that information cannot now legally claim for the same. The Complainant is free to file a fresh application to get that information from the Revenue Department. In view of the above discussed facts, the State Commission find no fault with the PIO of the Parliamentary Affairs Department. With the above discussed observations the case of the Complainant is dismissed.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this the 23rd day of August, 2006.




Hon^{ble} SCIC
23.8.06